

EXHIBIT F

1 **HENNIGAN, BENNETT & DORMAN LLP**
2 **RODERICK G. DORMAN (SBN 96908)**
3 **ALAN P. BLOCK (SBN 143783)**
4 **KEVIN SHENKMAN (SBN 223315)**
5 **601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 3300**
6 **Los Angeles, California 90017**
7 **Phone: (213) 694-1200**
8 **Fax: (213) 694-1234**

9 Attorneys for Plaintiff
10 ACACIA MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION

11
12 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
13 **DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
14 **SOUTHERN DIVISION**
15

16 ACACIA MEDIA TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION,
17

18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 NEW DESTINY INTERNET GROUP,
21 INC., et al.

22 Defendants.

23 Case No. SA CV 02-1040 JW (MLGx)

24 **Consolidated Cases:**

25 SA CV 02-1048 JW (MLGx)
26 SA CV 02-1063 JW (MLGx)
27 SA CV 02-1165 JW (MLGx)
28 SA CV 03-0218 JW (MLGx)
SA CV 03-0219 JW (MLGx)
SA CV 03-0259 JW (MLGx)
SA CV 03-0271 JW (MLGx)
SA CV 03-0308 JW (MLGx)

Related Cases:

SA CV 03-1801 JW (MLGx)
SA CV 03-1803 JW (MLGx)
SA CV 03-1804 JW (MLGx)
SA CV 03-1807 JW (MLGx)

**DECLARATION OF PETER
ALEXANDER IN SUPPORT OF
ACACIA' OPPOSITION TO
MOTION FOR SUMMARY
JUDGMENT**

Hearing Date: December 2, 2004
Hearing Time: 9:00 a.m.
Courtroom: 9C, 9th Floor

AND ALL RELATED CASE ACTIONS.

Honorable James Ware

1 with the release in 1982 of the Philips "Video Disc" brought a multitude of computer-
2 controlled recorders and players: Videodisc and LaserDisc; Compact Disk-Digital
3 Audio ("CD-DA"); Compact Disk-Read Only Memory ("CD-ROM"); Compact Disk
4 Interactive ("CD-I"); and Digital Video Interactive ("DVI") systems involving some
5 combination of the hardware referenced above in conjunction with user-oriented
6 interactive computer programs. The latter, DVI, created as a proprietary system by
7 General Electric was revolutionary in that it permitted up to one hour of full motion
8 video stored on a CD-ROM using compression algorithms and special semiconductor
9 chips.

10 32. The notion of "sequences" to describe a series of video frames is
11 ingrained to the video production community. The cited article Lippman89 (attached
12 as Exhibit 5) carries the title and introduction: 8 "Coding Image Sequences for
13 Interactive Retrieval. An image coding technique for digital storage of motion picture
14 information is presented that is optimized [sic] for use in interactive systems where
15 high quality still frames, random access, and database linkages are required."

16 33. Here, a frame of video represents a snapshot in time of the video image,
17 either in analog form as for television signals, or as an array of digital samples of the
18 image. This cited article was published in 1989, and is indicative of the trend at that
19 time towards the encoding of individual video frames for the purpose of random
20 access rather than the simple sequential ordered playback of frames. The patent term
21 "sequence encoding" in the context of video production and transmission describes
22 the assignment of a time code to each frame in a video sequence of frames to achieve
23 addressability. Thus, frame encoding was known to persons skilled in the art before
24 January 1991 to involve the assignment or encoding of a sequence position identifier.
25 In the cited article this tagging was achieved by means of a database of frame
26 information. A device or computer program encodes frame sequence identifiers using
27 numeric or alphanumeric codes drawn from an ordered sequence. Other articles in the
28 early 1980s use similar terminology [See MacKay89 attached as Exhibit 6,

1 McDonald81 attached as Exhibit 7, Souter88 at pp.47-48 Ex. 2, Miller87 at pp. 8, 20,
2 38-39 Ex. 3.]

3 34. In 1967, The US Society of Motion Picture and Television Engineers
4 introduced the "SMPTE" time code (see SmpteXX attached as Exhibit 8). In 1972 the
5 European Broadcasting Union ("EBU") introduced a similar system for 625 line PAL
6 television standards. The SMPTE timecode is represented as an 80-bit binary code
7 and is encoded into studio quality video or audio recordings at 30 frames per second.
8 (EBU timecodes are encoded at 25 frames per second.) These video encoding
9 methods have been used since the early days of studio VTR recording for precision
10 editing and copying of video recordings. (See White88 at pp. 236-238 Ex. 4.) Each
11 frame of video is associated with a unique time code identifier that has time resolution
12 down to seconds and a frame count within a second. This frame level resolution
13 allows copying and editing of individual video frames within a video sequence. From
14 White88 at pp. 239 attached as Ex. 4):

15 "Time code editing

16
17 To further automate the editing process, the EBU in 1972
18 standardised an 80 bit digital code which allowed each
19 frame to be identified on a separate audio track (Figure
20 191).

21
22 The code also identifies hours, minutes and seconds,
23 and....When recording, the time of any sequence can be
24 noted and in the editing suite control systems can be set to
25 search and find the particular insert automatically."

26
27 When the time code is recorded on the master machine,
28 systems can be produced to store addresses, control

1 rehearsals and edits and operate the programme of.
2 instructions.”

3 There is no doubt from this description that during the 1970s and 1980s, SMPTE and
4 EBU timecodes were in use in professional video post-production studios as methods
5 of encoding sequences of frame identifiers onto video clips to allow the registration of
6 precise edit points. The “programme” referred to represents a list of edit point
7 addresses (start frame, stop frame) stored in a computer store. (See White88 pp.240
8 Ex. 4.) Note the resemblance to portions of the claim 7 and claim 33 language: “A
9 communication system as recited in claim 1, wherein said sequence encoder
10 transforms digital data blocks into a group of addressable data blocks. “

11 35. Philips introduced the videodisc in 1982. Many different recording
12 formats were subsequently developed, but one in particular, Constant Angular
13 Velocity (“CAV”), was set up to rotate at 30 RPM, so that one rotation corresponds
14 exactly to a single video frame. This configuration allows for the implementation of
15 video interactive applications such as frame level random access, still picture access,
16 and slow motion access, since the head may be held fixed over a single frame track. In
17 describing these systems, frequent references are made to both “sequences” and
18 “encoding” on a per frame basis. For example, at Miller87 page 8 (Ex. 3),

19 “Therefore the designer is free to place all kinds of images
20 and sequences on the level I disc”

21 and at page 10, branching to different video sequences is described;

22 “On the entry of the user’s input, the player will branch to
23 one of three completely different locations on the disc and
24 play a new sequence based on the user’s input.”

25 36. When discussing the production of videodiscs from pre-master tapes,
26 Souter88 uses the following language (See Souter88 page 96 Ex. 2):

27 “As with the frame numbers on a videodisc, each frame of
28 videotape is identified by `time code.’ This code is